

**GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**  
**Board of Zoning Adjustment**



**Application No. 19200 of Jemal's Pappas Tomato's L.L.C.**, as amended<sup>1</sup>, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3103.2, for a variance from the off-street parking requirements under § 2101.1, to allow the adaptive reuse of an existing warehouse building for retail uses in the C-M-1 District at premises 1401 Okie Street N.E. (Square 4093, Lot 832).

**HEARING DATE:** March 1, 2016  
**DECISION DATE:** March 1, 2016

**SUMMARY ORDER**

**SELF-CERTIFIED**

The zoning relief requested in this case was self-certified, pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3113.2. (Exhibits 13 (original), 25 (1<sup>st</sup> revised), and 34 (2<sup>nd</sup> revised).)

The Board of Zoning Adjustment ("Board" or "BZA") provided proper and timely notice of the public hearing on this application by publication in the *D.C. Register* and by mail to Advisory Neighborhood Commission ("ANC") 5D and to owners of property located within 200 feet of the site. The site of this application is located within the jurisdiction of ANC 5D, which is automatically a party to this application. The ANC submitted a report in this case, indicating that at a duly called and properly noticed public meeting on January 12, 2016, at which a quorum was present, the ANC voted 7-0-0 to support the application. (Exhibit 26.)

The Office of Planning ("OP") submitted a timely report recommending approval of the application and testified in support at the hearing. (Exhibit 30.)

---

<sup>1</sup> At the public hearing, the Applicant amended its application to withdraw the requests for special exception relief from the roof structure requirements under §§ 411.3, 770.6, and 845.1, leaving only a request for variance relief from the off-street parking requirements under § 2101.1. The Applicant submitted a revised self-certification indicating that change. (Exhibit 34.) The caption has been amended accordingly.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19200**  
**PAGE NO. 2**

The District Department of Transportation (“DDOT”) submitted a timely report indicating no objection to the application with one condition.<sup>2</sup> (Exhibit 31.). The Applicant testified that it accepted the condition DDOT recommended.

The Applicant testified that the proposed project will include a Light Manufacturing use, which will comply with the requirements of § 804. In addition, the Applicant noted that an above-ground garage with over 1,000 spaces has been constructed across the street and that patrons and employees of the proposed project will be permitted to park at that garage. The Board indicated that the record should be left open for the Applicant to submit a filing confirming its compliance with § 804 and the availability of parking in the adjacent garage. The Applicant submitted the requested filing. (Exhibit 33.)

As directed by 11 DCMR § 3119.2, the Board required the Applicant to satisfy the burden of proving the elements that are necessary to establish the case pursuant to § 3103.2 for an area variance from the off-street parking requirements under § 2101.1, to allow the adaptive reuse of an existing warehouse building for retail uses in the C-M-1 District. The only parties to the case were the ANC and the Applicant. No parties appeared at the public hearing in opposition to the application. Accordingly, a decision by the Board to grant this application would not be averse to any party.

Based upon the record before the Board, and having given great weight to the ANC and OP reports filed in this case, the Board concludes that in seeking a variance from 11 DCMR § 2101.1, the Applicant has met the burden of proof under 11 DCMR § 3103.2, that there exists an exceptional or extraordinary situation or condition related to the property that creates a practical difficulty for the owner in complying with the Zoning Regulations, and that the relief can be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without substantially impairing the intent, purpose, and integrity of the zone plan as embodied in the Zoning Regulations and Map.

Pursuant to 11 DCMR § 3100.5, the Board has determined to waive the requirement of 11 DCMR § 3125.5, that the order of the Board be accompanied by findings of fact and conclusions of law. The waiver will not prejudice the rights of any party and is appropriate in this case.

It is therefore **ORDERED** that the application is hereby **GRANTED SUBJECT TO THE APPROVED REVISED PLANS AT EXHIBIT 29C AND THE FOLLOWING CONDITION:**

1. Pending the approval of the Public Space Committee, the Applicant shall install curb ramps on the east-side of Fenwick Street at the intersection of Gallaudet Street as part of streetscape improvements, which will be coordinated through public space permits.

**VOTE:**       **3-0-2** (Marnique Y. Heath, Frederick L. Hill, Michael G. Turnbull, to APPROVE; Jeffrey L. Hinkle, not participating or voting; one Board seat vacant.)

---

<sup>2</sup> DDOT testified in support as well and raised a concern about loading that was outside the scope of the application.

**BY ORDER OF THE D.C. BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT**

A majority of the Board members approved the issuance of this order.

**ATTESTED BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**SARA A. BARDIN**  
**Director, Office of Zoning**

**FINAL DATE OF ORDER:** March 3, 2016

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125.9, NO ORDER OF THE BOARD SHALL TAKE EFFECT UNTIL TEN (10) DAYS AFTER IT BECOMES FINAL PURSUANT TO § 3125.6.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3130, THIS ORDER SHALL NOT BE VALID FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS AFTER IT BECOMES EFFECTIVE UNLESS, WITHIN SUCH TWO-YEAR PERIOD, THE APPLICANT FILES PLANS FOR THE PROPOSED STRUCTURE WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING A BUILDING PERMIT, OR THE APPLICANT FILES A REQUEST FOR A TIME EXTENSION PURSUANT TO § 3130.6 PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION OF THE TWO-YEAR PERIOD AND THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PURSUANT TO § 3129.9, NO OTHER ACTION, INCLUDING THE FILING OR GRANTING OF AN APPLICATION FOR A MODIFICATION PURSUANT TO §§ 3129.2 OR 3129.7, SHALL TOLL OR EXTEND THE TIME PERIOD.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3125, APPROVAL OF AN APPLICATION SHALL INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE PLANS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A BUILDING OR STRUCTURE (OR ADDITION THERETO) OR THE RENOVATION OR ALTERATION OF AN EXISTING BUILDING OR STRUCTURE. AN APPLICANT SHALL CARRY OUT THE CONSTRUCTION, RENOVATION, OR ALTERATION ONLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS APPROVED BY THE BOARD AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT.

PURSUANT TO 11 DCMR § 3205, THE PERSON WHO OWNS, CONTROLS, OCCUPIES, MAINTAINS, OR USES THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, OR ANY PART THERETO, SHALL COMPLY WITH THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED AND/OR MODIFIED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT. FAILURE TO ABIDE BY THE CONDITIONS IN THIS ORDER, IN WHOLE OR IN PART SHALL BE GROUNDS FOR THE REVOCATION OF ANY BUILDING PERMIT OR CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY ISSUED PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER.

**BZA APPLICATION NO. 19200**  
**PAGE NO. 4**

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE D.C. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT OF 1977, AS AMENDED, D.C. OFFICIAL CODE § 2-1401.01 ET SEQ. (ACT), THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF ACTUAL OR PERCEIVED: RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, MARITAL STATUS, PERSONAL APPEARANCE, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, GENDER IDENTITY OR EXPRESSION, FAMILIAL STATUS, FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES, MATRICULATION, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, GENETIC INFORMATION, DISABILITY, SOURCE OF INCOME, OR PLACE OF RESIDENCE OR BUSINESS. SEXUAL HARASSMENT IS A FORM OF SEX DISCRIMINATION WHICH IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. IN ADDITION, HARASSMENT BASED ON ANY OF THE ABOVE PROTECTED CATEGORIES IS PROHIBITED BY THE ACT. DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE ACT WILL NOT BE TOLERATED. VIOLATORS WILL BE SUBJECT TO DISCIPLINARY ACTION.